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## 1. Introduction

Denote by $\pi_{n}$ the set of algebraic polynomials of degree not exceeding $n$. Set

$$
\|f\|_{c}:=\max _{-1 \leqslant x \leqslant 1}|f(x)| .
$$

The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{C} \leqslant n^{2}\|f\|_{C} \quad\left(f \in \pi_{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a well-known classical result in approximation theory (see Rivlin [1]); it was proved by A. A. Markov in 1889. Sometimes (1) is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{C} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{C}\|f\|_{C} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}(x)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, i.e.,

$$
T_{n}(x)=\cos (n \arccos x)
$$

Let us also note the evident fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(f ;[-1,1]) \leqslant V\left(T_{n} ;[-1,1]\right)\|f\|_{c} \quad\left(f \in \pi_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(f ;[-1,1])$ denotes the total variation of $f$ in $[-1,1]$. Using the notation

$$
\|f\|_{p}:=\left\{\int_{-1}^{1}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right\}^{1 / p} \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty
$$

one can rewrite (3) as

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{1}\|f\|_{C}
$$

Here, as in (2), the equality is attained if and only if $f= \pm T_{n}$. So, the famous Chebyshev polynomials $T_{n}$ have a maximal $L_{1}$ and $C$ norm for its first derivative in the set $\left\{f \in \pi_{n}:\|f\|_{C} \leqslant 1\right\}$. Whether $T_{n}$ preserves its extremal role in the corresponding $L_{p}$-problem

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \rightarrow \sup ; \quad f \in \pi_{n},\|f\|_{C} \leqslant 1,
$$

for $1<p<\infty$, is the central question discussed in the present paper. We give here an affirmative answer to this question showing that

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\|f\|_{c} \quad\left(f \in \pi_{n}\right)
$$

for each $p \in(1, \infty)$.

## 2. Auxiliary Lemmas

We prove in this section three propositions which will be needed for the proof of the main result.

Lemma 1. Let $\tau(t)$ be an arbitrary trigonometric polynomial of order $n$ with a uniform norm equal to 1. Suppose that $\alpha$ is a real number from the interval $(-1,1)$. Denote by $\xi$ the point from $(0, \pi / n)$ for which $\cos n \xi=\alpha$. Let $\eta$ be an arbitrary point from $(-\infty, \infty)$ for which $\tau(\eta)=\alpha$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \sin n \xi \geqslant\left|\tau^{\prime}(\eta)\right| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality is attained if and only if $\tau(t)=\cos n t$ (up to translation and multiplication by -1 ).

Proof. This seems to be a known fact. An anologous statement was used, for instance, by Taikov [2]. For the sake of completeness we sketch here the proof.

The inequality is obvious in the case $\tau^{\prime}(\eta)=0$. Suppose that $\tau^{\prime}(\eta) \neq 0$. Let us assume that (4) does not hold for some $\tau$ and $\eta$. Then the function

$$
g(t)=\varepsilon_{1} \tau(t-\xi+\eta)-\cos n t, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=-\operatorname{sign} \tau^{\prime}(\eta)
$$

would have three zeros in $[0, \pi / n]$ and (because of the oscillating property of $\cos n t)$ another $2 n-1$ zeros in $[-\pi, 0] \cup[\pi / n, \pi)$. But $g(t)$ is a trigonometric polynomial of order $n$, thus it has at most $2 n$ zeros in $[-\pi, \pi)$. The contradiction proves the lemma.

We present in the sequel an analogy of Lemma 1 in the algebraic case.

Let $\left\{\theta_{k}\right\}_{0}^{n}$ be the extremal points of $T_{n}(x)$ in $[-1,1]$. It is known (see Rivlin [1]) that $\theta_{0}=-1, \theta_{n}=1$ and

$$
T_{n}\left(\theta_{k}\right)=(-1)^{n-k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, n
$$

Denote by $\Omega_{n}$ the set of those polynomials $f$ from the class $\left\{g \in \pi_{n},\|g\|_{C}=1\right\}$ which possess $(m+1)$ points of alternation in $[-1,1]$ ( $m=1, \ldots, n$ ), i.e., for which there exist $m+1$ points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{0}^{m},-1=x_{0}<\cdots<$ $x_{m}=1$, such that

$$
f\left(x_{k}\right)=(-1)^{m-k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, m
$$

and $f(x)$ is a monotone function in $\left[x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right], k=0, \ldots, m-1$. Suppose that $f \in \Omega_{n}$. Evidently there is an $i \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $x_{i}<0 \leqslant x_{i+1}$. Consider the partition of $[-1,1]$ into subintervals $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{i}, 0\right]$, $\left[0, x_{i+1}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{m-1}, x_{m}\right]$ which we denote, for simplicity, by $I_{0}, \ldots, I_{m}$, respectively. Introduce the points $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ defined by the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t_{1} \in\left[\theta_{i}, \theta_{i+1}\right], & T_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)=f(0), \\
t_{2} \in\left[\theta_{i+n-m}, \theta_{i+n-m+1}\right], & T_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)=f(0)
\end{array}
$$

Denote the intervals

$$
\left[\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\theta_{i}, t_{1}\right],\left[t_{2}, \theta_{i+n-m+1}\right], \ldots,\left[\theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n}\right]
$$

by $I_{0}^{*}, \ldots, I_{m}^{*}$, respectively. We shall refer frequently to the correspondence between $I_{k}$ and $I_{k}^{*}, k=0, \ldots, m$.

Lemma 2. Suppose that $f \in \Omega_{n}, \alpha \in(-1,1)$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. Let the points $\xi$ and $\eta$ be defined by the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi \in I_{k}^{*}, & T_{n}(\xi)=\alpha \\
\eta \in I_{k}, & f(\eta)=\alpha
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \geqslant\left|f^{\prime}(\eta)\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equality is attained if and only if $f=T_{n}$.
Proof. Suppose that $f$ has $m+1$ points of alternation. If $m=n$ then $f=T_{n}$ and (5) holds. We assume in what follows that $f \neq T_{n}$. Clearly $\eta \neq \pm 1$ since $|f( \pm 1)|=1>|\alpha|$. Suppose that $0 \leqslant \eta<1$. Let the intervals $I=\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$ and $I^{*}=\left[z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right]$ be corresponding and $I \subset[0,1]$. We shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}<z_{1}^{*}, \quad z_{2} \leqslant z_{2}^{*} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the equality holds in the case $z_{2}=z_{2}^{*}=1$ only. We apply an induction. If $I=I_{m}$ then $z_{2}=z_{2}^{*}=1$ and clearly $z_{1}<z_{1}^{*}$ since the assumption $z_{1}^{*} \leqslant z_{1}$ implies that $f(x)-T_{n}(x)$ has more than $n$ zeros in $[-1,1]$. Suppose that $I=I_{k}, k<m$. Let us assume that the relations (6) hold for $I=I_{k+1}$. Then $z_{2}<z_{2}^{*}$ since $z_{2}$ is a first end point of $I_{k+1}$. Suppose that $z_{1}^{*} \leqslant z_{1}$. Then the polynomial $f(x)-T_{n}(x)$ would have two zeros at least in $\left[z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right]$ and $n-1$ other zeros in $\left[-1, z_{1}^{*}\right] \cup\left[z_{2}^{*}, 1\right]$, i.e., more than $n$. Therefore $z_{1}<z_{1}^{*}$. The assertion (6) is proven.

Now we shall show that $\eta<\xi$. Suppose that $\xi \in I^{*}=\left[z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right]$ and $\eta \in I=\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$. Let us assume that $\xi \leqslant \eta$. Since $z_{1}<z_{1}^{*}$ and $z_{2} \leqslant z_{2}^{*}$, the polynomial $f(x)-T_{n}(x)$ will have at least two zeros in $\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $n-1$ zeros in $\left[-1, z_{1}^{*}\right] \cup\left[z_{2}^{*}, 1\right]$, a contradiction. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \eta<\xi<1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the trigonometric polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(\cos t) & =\cos n t \\
\tau(t) & =f(\cos t)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the evident identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(x) & =\cos (n \arccos x) \\
f(x) & =\tau(\arccos x)
\end{aligned}
$$

that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n}^{\prime}(\xi)=n \sin (n \arccos \xi) \cdot\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{8}\\
& \tau^{\prime}(\eta)=-\tau^{\prime}(\arccos \eta) \cdot\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

But (7) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}<\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n \sin (n \arccos \xi)|>\left|\tau^{\prime}(\arccos \eta)\right| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos (n \arccos \xi) & =T_{n}(\xi)=\alpha \\
\tau(\arccos \eta) & =f(\eta)=\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

The assertion of the lemma follows from Eqs. (8)-(11).

The proof is completely similar in the case $-1<\eta \leqslant 0$. The lemma is proved.

Remark 1. The requirement that $f(x)$ is monotone between the points of alternation is not essential. Lemma 2 can be proved in the same fashion without this requirement, assuming that $\eta$ is an arbitrary point from $I_{k}$ for which $f(\eta)=\alpha$.

Lemma 3. Suppose that $F(x)$ is a convex, increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ and $F(0)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} F\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \leqslant \int_{-1}^{1} F\left(\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $f \in \Omega_{n}$. The equality is attained if and only if $f=T_{n}$.
Proof. We follow the idea used by Taikov [2] in the solution of an analogous problem for trigonometric polynomials.

There is an $M>0$ such that $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{C} \leqslant M\|f\|_{C}$ for each $f \in \pi_{n}$. With every $\alpha \in[0, M]$ we associate the function

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(x):= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x<\alpha \\ x, & \alpha \leqslant x \leqslant M\end{cases}
$$

Divide the interval $[0, M]$ into $N$ equal parts by the points $\alpha_{\kappa}=(k / N) \cdot M$, $k=0, \ldots, N$. Next we construct the function

$$
\Phi_{N}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \beta_{k} \varphi_{\alpha_{k}}(x)
$$

to satisfy the interpolation conditions

$$
\Phi_{N}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=F\left(\alpha_{k}\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, N-1
$$

Since $F$ is convex and $F(0)=0$, we conclude that $\beta_{k}>0, k=1, \ldots, N-1$. Evidently the functions $\Phi_{N}(x)$ tend uniformly to $F(x)$ in $[0, M]$ as $N$ tends to infinity. Thus, the inequality (12) will be proved if we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \Phi_{N}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \leqslant \int_{-1}^{1} \Phi_{N}\left(\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $f \in \Omega_{n}$ and every natural number $N$. But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \Phi_{N}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x=\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \beta_{k} \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{\alpha_{k}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the coefficients $\beta_{k}$ are positive. Therefore, in order to prove (13), it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \leqslant \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\alpha \in(0, M)$ and $f \in \Omega_{n}$. Further, it follows from the definition of $\varphi_{a}(x)$ that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{\alpha}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x=\int_{E(\alpha ; \cap)}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d x
$$

where $E(\alpha ; f):=\left\{x \in[-1,1]:\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \geqslant \alpha\right\}$. Clearly $E(\alpha ; f)$ consists of nonoverlapping intervals. Suppose that $[a, b]$ is one of these intervals. Since $\alpha>0, f(x)$ is a monotone function in $[a, b]$ and consequently

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d x=\left|\int_{a}^{b} f^{\prime}(x) d x\right|=|f(b)-f(a)|
$$

Suppose that $[a, b] \in I_{k}$. Let $a^{*}$ and $b^{*}$ be the points from the corresponding interval $I_{k}^{*}$ for which $T_{n}\left(a^{*}\right)=f(a)$ and $T_{n}\left(b^{*}\right)=f(b)$. According to Lemma 2,

$$
\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|>\min _{x \in[a, b]}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|=\alpha
$$

for each $x \in\left[a^{*}, b^{*}\right]$. Therefore $\left[a^{*}, b^{*}\right] \subset E\left(\alpha ; T_{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a^{*}}^{b^{*}}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x & =\left|T_{n}\left(b^{*}\right)-T_{n}\left(a^{*}\right)\right| \\
& =|f(b)-f(a)|=\int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{E\left(\alpha ; T_{n}\right)}\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| d x>\int_{E(\alpha ; \Omega}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d x
$$

and (15) follows. The inequality (12) is proven.
It remains to show that $T_{n}$ is the unique extremal element in $\Omega_{n}$. To this end, observe that

$$
V(f ;[-1,1])=\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{0}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x
$$

Since $V\left(T_{n} ;[-1,1]\right)=2 n$, there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{a}\left(\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \geqslant 2 n-1
$$

for every $\alpha \in[0, \varepsilon]$. Now, assume that $f \neq T_{n}$. Then $V(f ;[-1,1]) \leqslant 2(n-1)$ (remember that $f \in \Omega_{n}$ ) and consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{a}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x & <V(f ;[-1,1]) \\
& \leqslant-1+\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{a}\left(\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\alpha \in[0, \varepsilon]$. Since $0<\frac{1}{2} F(\varepsilon)<\sum_{\alpha_{k} \measuredangle \epsilon} \beta_{k}$ for sufficiently large $N$, it follows from (14) and (16) that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \Phi_{N}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \leqslant-\frac{1}{2} F(\varepsilon)+\int_{-1}^{1} \Phi_{N}\left(\left|T_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) d x
$$

which yields (12) with strict inequality, as a limit case.

## 3. Main Result

We prove in this section the central theorem of the present paper.
Theorem 1. Let $n$ be an arbitrary natural number and let $p \in(1, \infty)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\|f\|_{C} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every polynomial $f \in \pi_{n}$. Moreover, the equality is attained if and only if $f= \pm T_{n}$.

Proof. Let the number $p$ be fixed in ( $1, \infty$ ). Suppose that $f \in \pi_{n}$, $\|f\|_{c}=1$ and

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}=\sup \left\{\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}: g \in \pi_{n},\|g\|_{c} \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $f(\infty)=\infty$. The theorem will be proved if we show that $f=T_{n}$. Denote by $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{1}^{m-1},-1<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{m-1}<1$, the distinct real zeros of $f^{\prime}(x)$ in $(-1,1)$. Evidently $m \leqslant n$. Set, for convenience, $\omega(x)=f^{\prime}(x), x_{0}=-1, x_{m}=1$. We shall show first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{k}\right)=(-1)^{m-k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, m \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We investigate the change of the quantities $\left\|f^{\prime}+\varepsilon g_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}$ and $\left\|f+\varepsilon g_{k}\right\|_{c}$ for small $\varepsilon$, where

$$
g_{k}(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right) \omega(x) /\left(x-x_{k}\right) .
$$

Introduce the function

$$
\sigma_{k}(\varepsilon):=\int_{-1}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(x)+\varepsilon g_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x .
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k}^{\prime}(0)=p \int_{-1}^{1}|\omega(x)|^{p-2} \omega(x) g_{k}^{\prime}(x) d x \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our first task is to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k}^{\prime}(0)>0, \quad k=0, \ldots, m \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $k=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{0}^{\prime}(0) & =p \int_{-1}^{1}|\omega(x)|^{p-2} \omega(x)\{(x-1) \omega(x)\}^{\prime} d x \\
& =p \int_{-1}^{1}|\omega(x)|^{p-2} \omega(x)\left\{\omega(x)+(x-1) \omega^{\prime}(x)\right\} d x \\
& =p \int_{-1}^{1}|\omega(x)|^{p} d x+\int_{-1}^{1}(x-1) d|\omega(x)|^{p} \\
& =(p-1) \int_{-1}^{1}|\omega(x)|^{p} d x+2|\omega(-1)|^{p}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly one proves that $\sigma_{m}^{\prime}(0)>0$. Now suppose that $0<k<m$. It is clear from (19) that $\sigma_{k}^{\prime}(0)<\infty$ because the integrand is a continuous function in $[-1,1]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k}^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathfrak{T}(\delta), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathfrak{Z}(\delta)=p \int_{\Omega(\delta)}|\omega(x)|^{\boldsymbol{p}-2} \omega(x) g_{k}^{\prime}(x) d x
$$

and

$$
\Omega(\delta):=\left[x_{0}+\delta, x_{1}-\delta\right] \cup\left[x_{1}+\delta, x_{2}-\delta\right] \cup \cdots \cup\left[x_{m-1}+\delta, x_{m}-\delta\right],
$$

$\delta>0$. Let us transform the expression $\mathfrak{I}(\delta)$. After an integration by parts we get

$$
\mathfrak{I}(\delta)=A(\delta)-p \int_{\Omega(\delta)} g_{k}(x) d\left\{|\omega(x)|^{p-2} \omega(x)\right\},
$$

where

$$
A(\delta)=p \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left\{\left.g_{k}(x)|\omega(x)|^{p-2} \omega(x)\right|_{x_{i}+\delta} ^{x_{i+1}-\delta}\right\}
$$

It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} A(\delta)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{I}(\delta)= & A(\delta)-p \int_{\Omega(\delta)} \frac{\left(x^{2}-1\right) \omega(x)}{x-x_{k}}(p-1)|\omega(x)|^{p-2} \omega^{\prime}(x) d x \\
= & A(\delta)-(p-1) \int_{\Omega(\delta)} \frac{x^{2}-1}{x-x_{k}} d|\omega(x)|^{p} \\
= & A(\delta)-(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left\{\frac{x^{2}-1}{x-x_{k}}|\omega(x)|^{p} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
x_{i+1}-\delta \\
x_{i}+\delta
\end{array}\right.\right\} \\
& +(p-1) \int_{\Omega(\delta)}|\omega(x)|^{p}\left\{1+\frac{1-x_{k}^{2}}{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}}\right\} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

Now taking into account (21) and (22) we get (20) as a limit case.
We observe that there exist a number $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime}+\varepsilon g_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \geqslant\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+c \varepsilon \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$. This follows immediately from the inequality (20) and the Taylor expansion with respect to $\varepsilon$ of the function $\int_{-1}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(x)+\varepsilon g_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x$. Now let us assume that (18) is not true. Then there exists an $x_{k} \in\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ such that $\left|f\left(x_{k}\right)\right|<1$. Therefore $\left|f(x)+\varepsilon g_{k}(x)\right|<1$ for each $x$ from a neighborhood of $x_{k}$, provided $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small. So, in order to estimate the norm $\left\|f+\varepsilon g_{k}\right\|_{C}$ we have to investigate the function $f(x)+\varepsilon g_{k}(x)$ near the points $x_{i}, i \neq k$, only. Since $g_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ for $i \neq k$, it is not difficult to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f+\varepsilon g_{k}\right\|_{C}=\|f\|_{C}+\varepsilon \delta(\varepsilon) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some function $\delta(\varepsilon)$ which tends to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Consider the polynomial

$$
\psi_{\epsilon}(x)=\left[f(x)+\varepsilon g_{k}(x)\right] / /\left\|f+\varepsilon g_{k}\right\|_{c} .
$$

Obviously $\left\|\psi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{C}=1$. In addition, it follows from (23) and (24) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\psi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} & \geqslant\left[\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+c \varepsilon\right] /[1+\varepsilon \delta(\varepsilon)] \\
& =\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\varepsilon\left[c-\delta(\varepsilon)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p} / /[1+\varepsilon \delta(\varepsilon)]\right. \\
& >\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently small positive $\varepsilon$. This contradicts the assumption that $f$ is an extremal element. Therefore $\left|f\left(x_{k}\right)\right|=1$ for each $k=0, \ldots, m$ and our claim (18) follows from the choice of the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}$ as all distinct zeros of $f^{\prime}(x)$ in $(-1,1)$. Observe that $f$ is a monotone function between two successive points $x_{k}$ and $x_{k+1}, k=0, \ldots, m-1$. Therefore $f \in \Omega_{n}$. So, we proved that if $f$ is an extremal polynomial, then $f$ must belong to $\Omega_{n}$. It remains to note that the function $F(x)=|x|^{p}$ is strictly increasing and convex in $[0, \infty)$ for $0<p<\infty$ and $F(0)=0$. The proof is completed by applying Lemma 3.

It is very likely that

$$
\left\|f^{(k)}\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|T_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{p}\|f\|_{C}
$$

for each $f \in \pi_{n}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$. In any case the conjecture is true for $k=n, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and for $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}, p=\infty$.
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